Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 7B.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 7B.01 with minor editorial comments. |
| Table 7B-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Table 7B-1 as presented in the NPA. |
| 7B.02 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with combining 2009 MUTCD sections 7B.08 to 7B.10 into one section 7B.02 with a new title. |
| Figure 7B-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 7B-1, except that the color of the “State Law” region on sign S5-4 is recommended to be changed to fluorescent yellow green. |
| Figure 7B-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 7B-2 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 7B-3 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 7B-3 as presented in the NPA. |
| 7B.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 7B.03 which is a combination of the 2009 MUTCD Sections 7B.11 and 7B.12 with a new section title, except NCUTCD recommends deletion of the word “multilane” regarding the use of the R1-5a and R1-5c signs to allow for usage at single lane approaches in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 18A-RW-01. |
| Figure 7B-6 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 7B-6 as presented in the NPA. |
| 7B.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 7B.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| 7B.05 | NO | YES | N/A | Comments: NCUTCD agrees with 7B.05 except:   * Change the title of the section to reflect the sign legend * Change the “State Law” legend from Standard to Option * Change the top region on the S5-4 sign to fluorescent yellow green |
| Figure 7B-4 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 7B-4 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 7B-5 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 7B-5 as presented in the NPA. |
| 7B.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 7B.06 except as follows:   * Change “fixed message” to “static” signs and change “changeable message sign” to “blank-out sign” in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 14A-RW-07 and 20B-RW-03 * Allow for usage of hybrid or dynamic message sign for speed limit sign (R2-1) in a school speed limit assembly in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 20B-RW-03 * Allow for a flashing light on the back of a hybrid or dynamic message sign confirming operation in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 20B-RW-03 * Minor editorial comments |
| 7B.07 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 7B.07 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |